# General Comparison with ActionResult and IActionResult

**IActionResult** is a non-generic interface, and it cannot be used with a generic type parameter like <MyModel>. It allows developers to flexibly return different types of HTTP responses from web API methods or controllers. It does not explicitly specify the response type, leaving it up to the developer to determine the appropriate response type for each scenario. For instance, **OkResult**, **BadRequestResult**, **NotFoundResult**, and others are all implementations of **IActionResult** used to return specific HTTP status codes.
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On the other hand, **ActionResult** is a concrete implementation of **IActionResult**. It is used to clearly define the type of response to be returned, making the code more concise and easier to understand. This is especially helpful when you need to return a specific data type along with an HTTP status code.
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**Advantages of using IActionResult or ActionResult<T> over Specific Type Class model**

**1. Flexibility in Return Types**

* **IActionResult or ActionResult<T>**: These return types allow to return different types of responses from a single method. This promotes consistency and enforces a standardized approach in handling different HTTP response scenarios.
* **Specific Type (e.g., MyModel)**: Returning a specific type directly limits the api method to always return that type, making it difficult to include status codes or other response variations.

**2. Enhanced API Communication**

* **IActionResult or ActionResult<T>**: These convey more detailed responses to the client, such as successful data retrieval, errors, or empty results, each with the appropriate HTTP status code.
* **Specific Type**: If to return only a specific model, the method can't easily communicate status codes or different result types without additional mechanisms.

**3. Improved Unit Testing**

* **IActionResult or ActionResult<T>**: These make it easier to write unit tests for API methods, since can test not just the data returned, but also the specific HTTP status codes and response types.
* **Specific Type**: Testing becomes less flexible when returning a specific type since it is limited to verifying just the data, not the full range of possible responses.

**$. Scalability and Future-Proofing**

* **IActionResult or ActionResult<T>**: These return types provide room for the API methods to evolve without changing the return type, accommodating new requirements as they arise.
* **Specific Type**: Returning a specific model may force refactoring if you need to introduce different response types later, increasing the effort needed for future changes.

**For API Error handling, we could create a Base class that will be inherited by all Response models.**

**The Base class can have these properties:**

**bool Success** => a flag that indicates whether the request was successful. This allows the consuming client to quickly determine the outcome by checking this property first

**string Message** => captures the outcome of the call, whether it’s an error message, a validation message, or a success message.

**int StatusCode** => HTTP status code for the response. The API might return OK() that indicates the call was successful, but if there are validation errors or warnings, can set the StatusCode to 202 or another code that the client can use.